Management Dynamics

Volume 11 | Number 2

Article 1

December 2011

Exploring Consumer Attitudes towards Mobile Advertising

Smita Singh Institute of Management Sciences, University of Lucknow, India

Follow this and additional works at: https://managementdynamics.researchcommons.org/journal

Part of the Business Commons

Recommended Citation

Singh, Smita (2011) "Exploring Consumer Attitudes towards Mobile Advertising," *Management Dynamics*: Vol. 11: No. 2, Article 1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.57198/2583-4932.1134 Available at: https://managementdynamics.researchcommons.org/journal/vol11/iss2/1

This Research Article is brought to you for free and open access by Management Dynamics. It has been accepted for inclusion in Management Dynamics by an authorized editor of Management Dynamics.

EXPLORING CONSUMER ATTITUDES TOWARDS MOBILE ADVERTISING

Smita Singh*

Abstract

India has the largest growing customer base of mobile users and mobile advertising, which has been defined as "Use of a non-fixed network to transmit product messages to wireless communications equipment such as cell phones or PDAs and thereby achieve the broadcasting of advertisements," by the Wireless Advertising Association (WAA) is all set to experience an explosive growth. Ouarter 4 of 2010 saw a 233 percent increase over Q4 of 2009, indicating the potential the industry has. With more than a 100million Indians armed with mobiles, advertisers are fast realising that mobile phones are a very powerful channel which can be used to deliver relevant information to specific target. Their 24 by 7 usage pattern makes them an instant, real-time response channel, which when combined with the GPS enables advertisers to send location-specific information. However, the success of mobile advertising is very much dependent on the consumers' attitude towards the quality of advertising information, entertainment, irritation and credibility along with his mobile technology self-efficacy. Thus, this study seeks to investigate the effect of consumers' mobile application self-efficacy on their attitude towards mobile advertising. Primary data were collected on a sample of 435 respondents in the city of Lucknow aimed at gaining insights on consumer attitudes using an undisguised structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was derived from the 24 item scale, developed by Lee et al (2011). The model developed by Lee et al (2011) was tested in Indian setting and the results of the study are reported in this paper.

INTRODUCTION

India has the largest growing customer base of mobile users and *mobile advertising*, which has been defined as "Use of a non-fixed network to transmit product messages to wireless communications equipment such as cell phones or PDAs and thereby achieve the broadcasting of advertisements," by the Wireless Advertising Association (WAA) is all set to experience an explosive growth. Quarter 4 of 2010 saw a 233 percent increase over Q4 of 2009, indicating the potential the industry has. With more than a 100million Indians armed with mobiles, advertisers are fast realising that mobile phones are a very powerful channel which can be used to deliver relevant information to specific target. Their specific characteristics of reach, immediacy, interactivity, mobility and ubiquity (Vyas, 2011) coupled with the 24 by

*Assistant Professor, Institute of Management Sciences, University of Lucknow. E-mail: smita_saggi@rediffmail.com

Jaipuria Institute of Management Lucknow

7 usage pattern makes them an instant, real-time response channel, which when combined with the GPS enables advertisers to send location-specific information. Further, it is a medium that enables the marketer to send personal and relevant messages to the customers.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Mobile advertising has rapidly been adopted due to technological advances and companies world-wide are using not just text messages, but also multimedia Messages in their mobile commercial communication. Advertising, defined as "the distribution of any kind of message or promotion that adds value to the customer while enhancing revenue for the firm" (Kalakota, & Robinson 2002) has found a medium that can focus on the desired customer group. Unprecedented opportunities for direct contact between advertisers and consumers (Hoffman and Novak, 1996) coupled with improved speed and ease of these interactions (Pavlou and Stewart, 2000) has added new dimensions to mobile advertising.

Mobile advertising defined as "any form of marketing, advertising or sales promotion activity aimed at consumers and conducted over a mobile channel" by the Mobile Marketing Association (2003) seeks to target well-defined potential customers with relevant matter with the intention of increasing the response-to-advertisement ratio (De Reyck and Degraeve, 2003). Mobile advertising is different from traditional advertising in that mobile advertising works in an environment containing wireless networks and mobile devices (Lee, 2002; Hu, 2011), leading to an increased emphasis on the consumers' self-efficacy with regard to mobile technology usage. Efficacy of mobile advertising is determined to a large extent by the attitudes formed by the consumer. Consumers' attitudes towards advertising pertain to the "psychological tendency formed after receiving an advertising message and undergoing an emotional response and cognitive thinking" (Xu, 2007). This impacts the attitude towards the advertised product/brand and hence, the purchase intention (Haghirian, 2007). Previous researches on consumer attitudes towards mobile advertising have identified informativeness, irritation, entertainment and credibility as the main factors moulding attitude towards advertising (Annexure 1).

Credibility

Advertising credibility is an important predictor of the consumers' attitude towards mobile advertising. Credibility is the reaction towards the media content expressed at the mental perception level of the consumer which makes him trust or distrust the communicated message. Advertising credibility is defined as the "consumers' perception of the truthfulness and believability of advertising in general" (Mackenzie and Lutz, 1989). This in turn is influenced to a great extent by the corporate credibility i.e the "extent to which consumers believe that a firm can design and deliver products and services that satisfy customer needs and wants" and which has been identified as having a positive impact on brand advertising and purchase intent (Choi and Rifon, 2002). Haghirian et al. (2004) confirm that the credibility of message content will have a positive effect on consumers' assessment of mobile marketing and mobile advertising. We therefore infer:

Hypothesis 1: The more consumers perceive mobile advertising to be credible, the better their attitude towards that mobile advertising.

Informativeness:

Generating awareness is the primary aim of advertising and it is a crucial factor in the effectiveness of web advertising (Ducoffe, 1996). Advertising seeks to provide information to the potential customer not just about the product, services and ideas but also about new features, discounts, schemes and prices (Kotler and Keller, 2006). Providing information is one of the primary functions of advertising but this needs to be correct, relevant and timely (Siau, 2003). Petrovici and Marinov (2007) founded that product information acquisition is the primary personal use of advertising which influences general attitudes to advertising. Since, informativeness is considered as a particularly relevant factor in influencing consumer attitude towards mobile advertising, it is inferred that:

Hypothesis 2: The more consumers perceive mobile advertising to be informative, the better their attitude towards that mobile advertising.

Irritation:

Advertisers often use tactics that end up annoying the consumers. When advertising employs techniques that annoy, offend, or are overly manipulative, audiences are likely to perceive it as unwanted and irritating influence (Xu, 2006). Unrequested or mentally taxing information results in the customer feeling irritated towards the advertisement (Ducoffe, 1996). Similarly excessive information causes the receiver's attention to get diverted (Stewart and Pavlou, 2002) resulting in a feeling of irritation. Ducoffe (1995), in his study proposed that a feeling of irritation due to the advertisement would lead to poor advertising effectiveness. Hence, the following hypothesis is proposed:

Hypothesis 3: The more consumers perceive mobile advertising to be irritating, the worse their attitude towards that mobile advertising.

Entertainment:

The value of entertainment lies in its full ability to fulfill consumers' needs for "escapism, diversion, aesthetic enjoyment or emotional release" (McQuail, 1983). Brackett (2001) believes that entertainment is key factor associated with mobile advertising, and suggests that the content of messages must be concise and interesting in order to induce immediate attention in consumers. A feeling that advertising is interesting will affect consumers' attitude towards that advertising (Shavitt et al., 1998). A concise, funny message that easily captures the consumers' attention (Katterbach, 2002) is perceived more positively by the recipient. Therefore, it is inferred:

Hypothesis 4: The more consumers perceive mobile advertising to be entertaining, the better their attitude towards that mobile advertising.

Mobile Self-efficacy:

Self-efficacy implies an individual's level of belief that he or she has the ability to organize and perform a series of activities intended to achieve a result. Selfefficacy is a major factor affecting attitude and behavior. Self-efficacy represents an individual's judgment that he or she can complete a specific task, and is unconnected with the individual's actual skills. An individual's perceived self-efficacy will affect that person's behavior towards a specific task, amount of mental effort, and degree of persistence, and will ultimately influence the individual's actions (Bandura, 1997; Compeau and Higgins, 1995). Therefore:

Hypothesis 5: The higher consumers' mobile self-efficacy, the better their attitude towards mobile advertising.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in summer 2011 over a period of eight weeks during which 435 mobile phone owners were interviewed the city of Lucknow using an undisguised structured questionnaire. The research instrument was divided into two parts. The first part had questions related to mobile advertising and the second part recorded the demographic details of the respondents. The questionnaire was derived from the 24 item scale (Annexure 2), developed by Lee et al (2011) and the model developed by them was tested in Indian setting. The responses were recorded on a five-point Likert scale ranging from "strongly agree" (1) to "strongly disagree" (5). These scales were reverse-coded where appropriate. The questionnaires were filled by the respondents in face-to-face interviews. The respondent profile is included in Table 1. Out of the 435 valid questionnaires, 54.7per cent respondents were male and 45.3per cent respondents were female. With regard to age groups, the highest percentage (31.5per cent) of the respondents were between 30 and 39 years old followed by those in the age group of 40-49 years. The respondents were quite evenly distributed across the age bands. Majority of the respondents, 42.8% were graduates, while 31.7per cent were post graduates and 25.2per cent respondents were professionally qualified.

	N = 435	Percentage
Gender Male Female	238 197	54.7 45.3

Table 1	l: L	Demograpl	hic pro	file of	^f respondents
---------	------	-----------	---------	---------	--------------------------

Jaipuria Institute of Management Lucknow

Exploring Consumer Attitudes towards Mobile Advertising

	N = 435	Percentage	
Age	101	23.2	
20-29 years	137	31.5	
30-39 years	105	24.1	
40-49 years	92	21.2	
50-59 years			
Educational Qualification	186	42.8	
Graduate	138	31.7	
Post-graduate	111	25.5	
Professional			

Summary of Hypotheses

- H1: The more consumers perceive mobile advertising to be credible, the better their attitude towards that mobile advertising.
- H2: The more consumers perceive mobile advertising to be informative, the better their attitude towards that mobile advertising.
- H 3: The more consumers perceive mobile advertising to be irritating, the worse their attitude towards that mobile advertising.
- H4: The more consumers perceive mobile advertising to be entertaining, the better their attitude towards that mobile advertising.
- H 5: The higher consumers' mobile self-efficacy, the better their attitude towards mobile advertising.

DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

Correlation analysis (Table 2) results indicate that the factors are significantly related to the overall attitude towards mobile advertising. While informativeness, entertainment, credibility and mobile self-efficacy are positively related to the respondent attitude towards mobile advertising, irritation is negatively correlates to attitudes towards mobile advertising. These findings are consistent with the previous researches.

	Informativeness	Entertainment	Irritation	Credibility	Mobile Self- Efficacy	M-Ad Atti- tude
Information	1					
Entertainment		1				
Irritation	104*	166**	1			
Credibility	.164**	.278**	086	1		

Table 2. Results of correlation analysis

Jaipuria Institute of Management Lucknow

	Informativeness	Entertainment	Irritation	Credibility	Mobile Self- Efficacy	M-Ad Atti- tude
Mobile Self- Efficacy	.075	.095*	.055	.131**	1	
M-Ad Attitude	.221**	.393**	223**	.297**	.323**	1

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).R

Results of stepwise regression analysis (Table 3) show the individual contributions of the affecting factors. The results indicate that credibility is a major factor which influences the attjude towards mobile advertising, having the highest overall marginal contribution of 28.8per cent. Credibility is closely followed by irritation, which explains 26.5per cent of additional variance and mobile self-efficacy contributing 23.4 per cent, which are also quite substantial contributions. Entertainment, on the other hand has a substantially low contribution to mobile advertising attitudes at 15.3per cent and informativeness surprisingly does not figure in the model as it does not make a significant contribution to attitudes towards mobile advertising.

Model	β	R	R ²	ΔR	t	Sig.
Entertainment	.393	.393	.155	.153	8.905	.000
Entertainment, Mobile efficacy	.366 .288	.487	.237	.234	8.675 6.834	.000
Entertainment, Mobile efficacy Irritation	.334 .302 184	.519	.270	.265	7.968 7.274 -4.386	.000
Entertainment, Mobile efficacy Irritation Credibility	.292 .284 176 .163	.542	.294	.288	6.829 6.908 -4.256 3.841	.000

Table 3: Results of regression analysis (Attitude towards Mobile-advertisements)

The results of t-test for respondent attitude towards mobile advertising are given in Table 4. the average respondent score on overall attitude towards mobile advertising was 3.198 on a five-point Likert scale, with 1 as the least favorable and 5 as most favorable. This is greater than the neutral score of 3 (t = 89.153, p < 0.05), which implies that the respondent attitudes towards mobile advertising are in general favorable.

	Т	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean	95% confidence interval of differenc		
				Lower	Upper	
M-Ad Attitude	89.153	.000	3.198	3.127	3.268	

Table 4: Results of t-test (attitude towards mobile advertising)

The results of t-test (Table 5) show that respondents in general do not perceive mobile advertising as informative, entertaining and credible. On the other hand, they also do not seem to be much irritated by mobile advertisements. However, mobile self-efficacy helps in forming better attitudes towards mobile advertising.

	T Sig. (2-ta	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean	95% confidence interval of difference		
				Lower	Upper	
Information	70.630	.000	2.723	2.647	2.798	
Entertainment	55.277	.000	2.502	2.413	2.590	
Irritation	65.952	.000	2.535	2.459	2.610	
Credibility	76.761	.000	2.702	2.633	2.771	
Mobile self- efficacy	84.278	.000	3.398	3.319	3.477	

Table 5: Results of t-test

Independent t-tests were conducted to assess the differences between the attitudes of men and women. The results (Table 6) revealed that there is no difference between the attitudes of men and women regarding mobile advertising and neither is there any significant difference in terms of informative advertisement, entertainment, irritation, credibility and mobile efficacy. Previous studies are divided in their findings in this respect. While studies conducted by Mathew and Dambal (2010) reported women as perceiving greater irritation at mobile advertising, Okazaki (2007) reported they had a more favourable attitude towards it. The findings of current study are consistent with those of Saadeghvaziri and Seyedjavadain (2011) which reported that no specific differences were observed in the attitudes of male and female respondents towards mobile advertising.

	Т	Sig. (2-tailed)	95% confidence interval of difference			
			Lower	Upper		
M-Ad Attitude	.025	.980	140	.144		
Information	1.522	.129	034	.270		
Entertainment	.327	.744	149	.209		
Irritation	.124	.901	142	.162		
Credibility	.269	.788	158	.120		
Mobile self- efficacy	.218	.828	142	.177		

Table 6: Gender wise comparison

The relationship between attitude and demographic characteristics (age and educational qualifications) was explored by conducting one-way ANOVA testing. The ANOVA results for differences in age groups (Table 7) revealed that there are significant differences among the age groups with respect to their attitude towards mobile advertising, informative advertisement, entertainment, irritation and mobile efficacy. However, there were no differences in the age group with respect to the credibility of the mobile advertising. These findings suggest that the age group of the individual receiving the mobile advertisement impacts his attitude towards it. It may be that those in the younger age group would not be much irritated by the mobile advertisement and would have a favourable attitude towards it especially if it is entertaining and informative because they are likely to have greater mobile selfefficacy as compared to the older age groups. However, it can be seen that credibility of the mobile advertisement is perceived as important by all the age groups and there are no differences in this regard between them. The implication is strong for the advertiser to ensure that his mobile advertisement is seen as credible enough to ensure a favourable attitude towards it.

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
M_Ad_Attitude	Between Groups Within Groups Total	22.441 220.432 242.873	3 431 434	7.480 .511	14.626	.000
Informative	Between Groups Within Groups Total	7.849 272.678 280.528	3 431 434	2.616 .633	4.136	.007

Jaipuria Institute of Management Lucknow

Exploring Consumer Attitudes towards Mobile Advertising

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Entertainment	Between Groups Within Groups Total	6.956 379.793 386.748	3 431 434	2.319 .881	2.631	.050
Irritation	Between Groups Within Groups Total	16.303 262.585 278.888	3 431 434	5.434 .609	8.920	.000
Credibility	Between Groups Within Groups Total	2.139 231.765 233.904	3 431 434	.713 .538	1.326	.265
Mobile_Efficacy	Between Groups Within Groups Total	28.875 278.020 306.895	3 431 434	9.625 .645	14.921	.000

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
M_Ad_Attitude	Between Groups Within Groups Total	.235 242.638 242.873	2 432 434	.117 .562	.209	.811
Informative	Between Groups Within Groups Total	1.557 278.971 280.528	2 432 434	.778 .646	1.205	.301
Entertainment	Between Groups Within Groups Total	1.276 385.473 386.748	2 432 434	.638 .892	.715	.490
Irritation	Between Groups Within Groups Total	2.020 276.867 278.888	2 432 434	1.010 .641	1.576	.208
Credibility	Between Groups Within Groups Total	.298 233.606 233.904	2 432 434	.149 .541	.276	.759
Mobile_Efficacy	Between Groups Within Groups Total	5.971 300.925 306.895	2 432 434	2.985 .697	4.286	.014

 Table 8: One-way ANOVA analysis (educational qualifications)

ANOVA conducted for differences in attitude towards mobile advertising based on educational qualifications (Table 8) revealed that there were no significant differences. Further, there were statistically insignificant differences between graduates, post graduates and professionals for informative advertisements, entertainment, irritation and credibility. However, there were statistically significant differences between the groups for mobile self-efficacy.

DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

The current study investigated the attitude of consumers towards mobile advertising and other factors, like informativeness, entertainment, irritation, credibility and mobile self-efficacy. In general The study result indicates that of the proposed hypotheses, only "H2: The more consumers perceive mobile advertising to be informative, the better their attitude towards mobile advertising", was not confirmed. The remaining four hypotheses were accepted. This finding is contrary to the findings of the previous studies but could mean that customers are not expecting detailed information from the mobile advertisement, hence, lower value attached to it. It is possible that mobile advertisements are being considered more in terms of providing a link between the product/service provider and the customer, rather than being looked as a mechanism for providing detailed product or service related information.

A major implication of the findings is in terms of mobile self-efficacy. Mobile self-efficacy has a 23.4per cent contribution in influencing attitudes towards mobile advertising. This when seen in light of the significant differences between age groups in mobile self-efficacy, implies that education and training needs for using mobile phones are likely to be different for different age groups. The post hoc tests confirmed that the age group 50-59 years differed in their mobile self-efficacy from the other groups. If extension activities or training services can be used to improve mobile self-efficacy, the mobile users are likely to have a better attitude towards mobile advertisements, hence, making them more receptive to mobile advertisements. Further, more than information, it is the credibility of the information provided that links closely with the attitude towards mobile advertising. This is consistent with the findings of the previous researches (Lee et al., 2011). Implications for the advertisers are to ensure credible offers and follow them up with sincerity.

Thus, it can be concluded that mobile advertising can be used by the advertiser but it needs to be more credible and entertaining to be well received. Further, it is not always irritating for the receiver and though information does not make a major contribution, it still needs to be credible for the receiver to form a favorable attitude.

REFERENCES

Bandura, A. (1997). "Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control", Freeman & Company, NY, USA.

Brackett, L.K., and Carr, B.N.Jr. (2001). "Cyberspace advertising vs. other media: Consumer vs. mature student attitudes", Journal of Advertising Research, vol. 41, no. 5, pp.23-32

Carroll, A., Barnes, S.J., Scornavacca E., and Fletcher, K. (2007). Consumer Perceptions and Attitudes towards SMS Advertising: Recent Evidence from New Zealand. Int. J. Advert., 26(1): 79-98.

Choi, S.M., and Rifon, N.J. (2002). Antecedents and Consequences of Web Advertising Credibility: A Study of Consumer Response to Banner Advertisements. J. Interact. Advert., 3(1): 14-24.

Compeau, D.R., and Higgins, C.A. (1995). "Computer Self-Efficacy: Development of a Measure and Initial Test", MIS Quarterly, vol. 19, no. 2, pp.189-211.

De Reyck B, Degraeve Z (2003). Broadcast Scheduling for Mobile Advertising. Oper. Res., 51(4): 509-517.

Ducoffe, R.H. (1995). "How Consumers Assess the Value of Advertising", Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising, vol. 17, no. 1, pp.1-18.

Ducoffe, R.H. (1996). "Advertising Value and Advertising on the Web", Journal of Advertising Research, vol. 36, no. 5, pp.21-35.

Ducoffe., R.H. (1995). How Consumers Assess the Value of Advertising," Journal of Current Issues in Research and Advertising. 17(1): 1-18.

Goldsmith RE, Lafferty BA, Newell SJ (2000). The Impact of Corporate Credibility and Celebrity Credibility on Consumer Reaction to Advertisements and Brands. J. Advert., 9(3): 43-54.

Haghirian, P., and Dickinger, A. (2004). Identifying Success Factors of Mobile Marketing, ACR Asia-Paciic 2004 Association of Consumer Research

Haghirian, P., and Inoue, A. (2007). "An advanced model of consumer attitudes toward advertising on the mobile internet", International Journal of Mobile Communications, vol. 5, no. 1, pp.48–67.

Hu, F., and Liu, Y. (2001). "An Empirical Examination on Mobile Services Adoption in Rural China", JDCTA, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 328–334.

Jelassi, T., and Enders, A. (2004). Leveraging Wireless Technology for Mobile Advertising. Proceedings of the 12th European Conference on Information Systems, Turku, Finland. Retrieved July 6, 2011, from http://is2.lse.ac.uk/asp/aspecis/20040075.pdf

Kalakota R, Robinson M (2002). M-business: The Race to Mobility. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Katterbach, W. (2002). Die SMS-Verkäufer. Impulse, February 1st, 2002(76.

Kotler, P., and Keller., L.K. (2006). Marketing Management 12th Edition. New Delhi: Prentice Hall.

Lee, Y., Kim, J., Lee, I., and Kim, H. (2002) "A cross-cultural study on the value structure of mobile internet usage: Comparison between Korea and Japan", Journal of Electronic Commerce Research, vol. 3, no. 4, pp.227–239.

Mackenzie, S.B., and Lutz, R.J. (1989). An Empirical Examination of the Structural Antecedents of Attitude toward the Ad in an Advertising Pretesting Context. J. Mark., 53(2): 48-65.

Mathew, M., & Dambal, A. (2010). Factors affecting consumer attitude towards mobile advertising in India. [Article]. International Journal of Information Technology and Management, 9(3), 273-288.

McQuail, D. (1983). Mass Communication Theory: An Introduction. London: Sage Publication.

Michael, A., and Salter, B. (2006). Mobile Marketing: Achieving Competitive Advantage through Wireless Technology. Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier Ltd.

MMA UK (2003). MMA Code for Responsible Mobile Marketing. A Code of Conduct and Guidelines to Best Practice. Retrieved July 6th 2011 from www.mmaglobal.com/bestpractices.pdf

Okazaki, S. (2007). Exploring Gender Effects in a Mobile Advertising Context: On the Evaluation of Trust, Attitudes, and Recall. [Article]. Sex Roles, 57(11/12), 897-908.

Petrovici, D., & Marinov, M. (2007). Determinants and antecedents of general attitudes towards advertising. European Journal of Marketing, 41(3/4), 307-326.

Pietz, M., and Storbacka, L. (2007). Driving Advertising into Mobile Mediums Study of Consumer Attitudes towards Mobile Advertising and of Factors Affecting on Them. Batchelor Thesis, Umea University, Retrieved July 6, 2011 from http://www.essays.se/essay/679daabd76/

Saadeghvaziri, F., and Seyedjavadain, S. (2011). Attitude toward advertising: Mobile advertising vs Advertising-in-general. European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences. Vol 28, pp 104-114.

Shavitt, S., Lowrey, P., and Haefner, J. (1998). "Public Attitudes Towards Advertising: More Favourable Than You Might Think", Journal of Advertising Research, vol. 38, no. 4, pp.7-22.

Siau, K., and Shen, Z. (2003). "Building Customer Trust in Mobile Commerce", Communications of the ACM, vol. 46, no. 4, pp.91-94.

Stewart, D.W., and Pavlou, P.A. (2002). "From Consumer Response to Active Consumer: Measuring the Effectiveness of Interactive Media", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, vol. 30, no. 4, pp.376-396.

Tsang, M.M., Ho, S.H., and Liang, T.P. (2004). Consumer Attitudes toward Mobile Advertising: An Empirical Study. Int. J. Elect. Comm., 8(3): 65-78.

Xu, D.J. (2007) "The influence of personalization in affecting consumer attitudes toward mobile advertising in China", The Journal of Computer InformationSystems, vol, 47, no. 2, pp.9–20.

Web-references

http://www.palgrave-journals.com/jit/journal/v24/n2/full/jit200837a.html

http://www.scribd.com/doc/53333400/Varnali-2010-International-Journal-of-Information-Management

http://www.mendeley.com/research/consumer-attitude-toward-mobile-advertising-emerging-market-empirical-study/

http://www.thefree library.com/Personal+ and + psychological + factors does + it + impact + the + choice + of ... - a0208209134

http://csi-sigegov.org/3/40_370_3n.pdf

ANNEXURE

Annexure 1: Previous studies on factors affecting mobile advertising

Factor	Study
Irritation	Xu, 2007 Carroll et al. (2007) De Reyck and De Graeve (2003) Michael and Salter (2006) Tsang et al. (2004)
Credibility	Jelassi and Enders (2004) Goldsmith et al. (2000)
Informativeness	Ducoffe (1995) Kotler and Keller (2006)
Entertainment	Pietz and Storbacka (2007) Xu (2007) Kalakota and Robinson (2002)
Mobile Self-Efficacy	Lee et al (2011)

Annexure 2: List of statements

Informativeness		
1.	M-ad supplies relevant information on products or services.	
2.	M-ad provides timely information on products or services.	
3.	M-ad provides information on products or services when I need it.	
Ent	ertainment	
4.	M-ad is entertaining.	
5.	M-ad is enjoyable.	
6.	M-ad is pleasing.	
Irri	ation	
7.	M-ad is irritating.	
8.	M-ad insults my intelligence.	
9.	There is too much M-ad.	
Cre	libility	
10.	M-ad is credible.	
11.	M-ad is trustworthy.	
12.	M-ad is believable.	
Mol	pile self-efficacy	
I fee	l I am able to	
13.	Use a mobile device to connect to the mobile Internet.	
14.	Use the mobile Internet to browse the World Wide Web.	
15.	Enter an URL to connect to a mobile web site.	
16.	Use a mobile web page search engine (such as Google) to search for information.	
17.	Click on a mobile web page hyper link.	
18.	Enter a keyword on a mobile web page to find information.	
19.	Send short message (SMS) or multimedia short messages (MMS).	
20.	Use the mobile Internet to send and receive e-mail and attach files.	

Smita Singh

Attitude toward M-ad		
21.	I like mobile advertising	
22.	Mobile advertising is a good application	
23.	Mobile advertising is a good marketing tool.	
24.	On the whole, my attitude towards mobile advertising is positive.	

14